

**NOTICE:**

To request limited oral argument on any matter on this calendar, you must call the Court at (916) 874-5487 (Department 47) by 4:00 p.m. the court day before this hearing and advise opposing counsel. If no call is made, the tentative ruling becomes the order of the court. Local Rule 2.02(D).

**Department 47  
Superior Court of California  
720 Ninth Street  
Roland L. Candee, Judge  
S. Jacobs/P. Banks, Clerk  
None, Bailiff**

**Tuesday, May 01, 2007, 9:30 AM**

Item 1    **05AS00075        JOSEPH M. RAMOS, ET AL VS. PAT GRAHAM, ET AL**

Nature of Proceeding: Motion To Continue Trial

Filed By:

Good cause appearing, and the parties having agreed thereto, plaintiff's motion to continue settlement conference and trial currently scheduled for May 24, 2007 and June 25, 2007, respectively, is GRANTED. This matter shall be placed upon the September 17, 2007 trial setting calendar to be heard at 10:00 a.m. in Department 47.

The court finds good cause to continue settlement conference and trial based upon the agreement of the parties and the parties need for additional time to evaluate plaintiff Joseph Ramos' progress in vocational rehabilitation.

---

Item 2    **05AM06040        STEVE SAXON VS. SAUL LAFORTE, ETAL**

Nature of Proceeding: OSC Re: Compliance

Filed By:

An Order to Show Cause Re: Compliance was issued by this court on April 17, 2007. On October 18, 2005 the court granted defendant's motion to change venue of this action to the Lake County Superior Court. The court directed plaintiff, appearing in pro per, to pay transfer fees. Defendant as the prevailing party was to prepare a formal order transferring the case. Plaintiff has apparently paid the transfer fees. No formal order was ever prepared by either party. In the absence of a formal order, the court issued this OSC directing the parties to show cause, if any they have, why the court should not impose sanctions, including terminating sanctions, under Local Rule 11.12 for failure to prepare the transfer order. The parties appearance is required.

---

Item 3    **07AM03072        NIRMAL SINGH BAL, ET AL. VS. ATUL MALIK, ET AL.**

Nature of Proceeding: Motion To Change Venue

Filed By:

Defendant in pro per Atul Malik's motion to change venue is hereby vacated. Defendant has not filed a proof of service establishing that this matter has been properly served upon plaintiff. Under CRC 3.1300(c) a party must serve and file a proof

of service at least five court days before the hearing. Moreover, plaintiff has not filed any response to this motion. Accordingly, there is no evidence before the court establishing that plaintiff has received any notice of this motion.

Defendant may reset this matter for hearing by obtaining a new hearing date, serving all papers including the notice of the new hearing date and filing a timely proof of service for the new hearing date.

---